arXiv:1412.4477v1 [math.GT] 15 Dec 2014

BRIDGE SPHERES FOR THE UNKNOT ARE TOPOLOGICALLY MINIMAL

JUNG HOON LEE

Abstract. We show that an $(n+1)$-bridge sphere for the unknot is a topologically minimal surface of index at most n.

1. Introduction

Let S be a closed orientable separating surface embedded in a 3-manifold M. The structure of the set of compressing disks for S, such as how a pair of compressing disks in opposite sides of S intersects, reveals some topological properties of M. For example, if S is a minimal genus Heegaard surface of an irreducible manifold M and S has a pair of disjoint compressing disks in opposite sides, then M contains an incompressible surface [3].

A *disk complex* $\mathcal{D}(S)$ of S is a simplicial complex defined as follows.

- Vertices of $\mathcal{D}(S)$ are isotopy classes of compressing disks for S.
- A collection of $k+1$ vertices forms a k-simplex if there are pairwise disjoint representatives.

The disk complex of an incompressible surface is empty. A surface S is *strongly irreducible* if S compresses to both sides and every compressing disk for S in one side intersects every compressing disk in the opposite side. So the disk complex of a strongly irreducible surface is disconnected. Extending these notions, Bachman defined topologically minimal surfaces [1], which can be regarded as topological analogues of (geometrically) minimal surfaces.

A surface S is *topologically minimal* if $\mathcal{D}(S)$ is empty or $\pi_i(\mathcal{D}(S))$ is non-trivial for some i. The *topological index* of S is 0 if $\mathcal{D}(S)$ is empty, and the smallest n such that $\pi_{n-1}(\mathcal{D}(S))$ is non-trivial, otherwise.

Topologically minimal surfaces share some useful properties. For example, if an irreducible manifold contains a topologically minimal surface and an incompressible surface, then the two surfaces can be isotoped so that any intersection loop is essential in both surfaces. There exist topologically minimal surfaces of arbitrary high index [2], and see also [5] for possibly high index surfaces in (closed orientable surface)$\times I$. In this paper we consider bridge splittings of 3-manifolds, and show that the simplest bridge surfaces, bridge spheres for the unknot in S^3, are topologically minimal. The main idea is to construct a retraction from the disk complex of a bridge sphere to S^{n-1} as in [2] and [5].

Theorem 1.1. *An $(n+1)$-bridge sphere for the unknot is a topologically minimal surface of index at most n.*

In particular, the topological index of a 3-bridge sphere for the unknot is two. We conjecture that the topological index of an $(n+1)$-bridge sphere for the unknot is n. There is another conjecture that the topological index of a genus n Heegaard surface of S^3 is $2n-1$. This correspondence is maybe due to the fact that a genus n Heegaard splitting of S^3 can be obtained as a 2-fold covering of S^3 branched along an unknot in $(n+1)$-bridge position.

2. Bridge splitting

For a closed 3-manifold M, a *Heegaard splitting* $M = V^+ \cup_S V^-$ is a decomposition of M into two handlebodies V^+ and V^- with $\partial V^+ = \partial V^- = S$. The surface S is called a *Heegaard surface* of the Heegaard splitting.

Let K be a knot in M such that $V^\pm \cap K$ is a collection of n boundary-parallel arcs $\{a_1^\pm, \dots, a_n^\pm\}$ in $V^\pm$. Each $a_i^\pm$ is called a *bridge*. The decomposition $(M,K) = (V^+, V^+ \cap K) \cup_S (V^-, V^- \cap K)$ is called a *bridge splitting* of (M,K), and we say that K is in *n-bridge position* with respect to S. A bridge $a_i^\pm$ cobounds a *bridge disk* $\Delta_i^\pm$ with an arc in S. We can take the bridge disks Δ_i^+ $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ to be mutually disjoint, and also for Δ_i^- $(i = 1, \dots, n)$. By a *bridge surface*, we mean $S - K$. The set of vertices of $\mathcal{D}(S-K)$ consists of compressing disks for $S-K$ in $V^+ - K$ and $V^- - K$.

Two bridge surfaces $S-K$ and $S'-K$ are equivalent if they are isotopic in $M-K$. An n-bridge position of the unknot in S^3 is unique for every n [6], so for $n \geq 2$ it is *perturbed*, i.e. there exists a pair of bridge disks Δ_i^+ and Δ_j^- such that $|\Delta_i^+ \cap \Delta_j^-| = 1$. The uniqueness holds also for 2-bridge knots [8] and torus knots [7]. However, there are 3-bridge knots that admit infinitely many 3-bridge spheres [4].

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let S^3 be decomposed into two 3-balls B^+ and B^- with common boundary S. Let K be an unknot in S^3 which is in $(n+1)$-bridge position with respect to S. Then $K \cap B^\pm$ is a collection of $n+1$ bridges $a_i^\pm$ $(i = 1, \dots, n+1)$ in $B^\pm$. We assume that the bridges are arranged so that $a_1^\pm$ is adjacent to $a_1^\mp$ and $a_2^\mp$, and $a_i^\pm$ is adjacent to $a_{i-1}^\mp$ and $a_{i+1}^\mp$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$, and $a_{n+1}^\pm$ is adjacent to $a_n^\mp$ and $a_{n+1}^\mp$. Let $\{\Delta_i^\pm\}$ be a collection of disjoint bridge disks $\Delta_i^\pm$ for $a_i^\pm$ with $\Delta_i^\pm \cap S = b_i^\pm$. We assume that $\operatorname{int} b_i^+ \cap \operatorname{int} b_j^- = \emptyset$ for any i and j. See Figure 1 for an example.

Let P be the $(2n+2)$-punctured sphere $S - K$. We define compressing disks $D_i^\pm$ $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ for P in $B^\pm - K$ as follows. Let D_1^+ be a disk in $B^+ - K$ such that $\partial D_1^+ = \partial N(b_1^+)$, where $N(b_1^+)$ is a neighborhood of b_1^+ taken in S. Similarly, other disks are defined so as to satisfy the following.

- $\partial D_1^- = \partial N(b_1^-)$
- $\partial D_2^+ = \partial N(b_1^+ \cup b_1^- \cup b_2^+)$


a_1^+ a_3^+ a_5^+ a_4^+ a_2^+
b_1^- Δ_1^+ b_2^- Δ_3^+ b_4^- Δ_5^+ b_5^- Δ_4^+ b_3^- Δ_2^+ b_1^-
Δ_1^- b_1^+ Δ_2^- b_3^+ Δ_4^- b_5^+ Δ_5^- b_4^+ Δ_3^- b_2^+ Δ_1^-
a_1^- a_2^- a_4^- a_5^- a_3^- a_1^-

FIGURE 1. Bridges and bridge disks.

- $\partial D_2^- = \partial N(b_1^- \cup b_1^+ \cup b_2^-)$

  $\vdots$
- $\partial D_i^+ = \partial N(b_1^+ \cup b_1^- \cup \cdots \cup b_{i-1}^+ \cup b_{i-1}^- \cup b_i^+)$
- $\partial D_i^- = \partial N(b_1^- \cup b_1^+ \cup \cdots \cup b_{i-1}^- \cup b_{i-1}^+ \cup b_i^-)$

  $\vdots$
- $\partial D_n^+ = \partial N(b_1^+ \cup b_1^- \cup \cdots \cup b_{n-1}^+ \cup b_{n-1}^- \cup b_n^+)$
- $\partial D_n^- = \partial N(b_1^- \cup b_1^+ \cup \cdots \cup b_{n-1}^- \cup b_{n-1}^+ \cup b_n^-)$

In Figure 2, $\partial D_i^{\pm}$'s in P are depicted.



FIGURE 2. $\partial D_i^{\pm}$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ in P.

Now we define subsets $C_i^{\pm}$ $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ of the set of vertices of $\mathcal{D}(P)$ as follows. For odd i, let

- $C_i^+ = \{D_i^+\}$
- $C_i^- = \{$essential disks in $B^- - K$ that intersect D_i^+ and are disjoint from $D_1^+, D_3^+, \dots, D_{i-2}^+\}$.

For even i, let

- $C_i^+ = \{$essential disks in $B^+ - K$ that intersect D_i^- and are disjoint from $D_2^-, D_4^-, \dots, D_{i-2}^-\}$
- $C_i^- = \{D_i^-\}$.

Note that for all i, $D_i^{\pm}$ belongs to $C_i^{\pm}$.

Lemma 3.1. *The collection $\{C_i^{\pm}\}$ $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ is a partition of the set of essential disks in $B^{\pm} - K$.*

Proof. First we show that $\{C_i^+\}$ $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ is a partition of the set of essential disks in $B^+ - K$. We show that any essential disk in $B^+ - K$ belongs to one and only one C_i^+.

An essential disk in $B^+ - K$ that intersects D_2^- belongs to C_2^+ by definition. Let $E_2 = N(b_1^- \cup b_1^+ \cup b_2^-)$ be the disk in S such that $\partial E_2 = \partial D_2^-$.

Claim 1. If an essential disk D in $B^+ - K$ is disjoint from D_2^- and ∂D is in E_2, then D is isotopic to $D_1^+ \in C_1^+$.



FIGURE 3. D_1^+ in C_1^+.

Proof of Claim 1. We assume that D intersects D_1^+ transversely and minimally, so $D \cap D_1^+$ consists of arc components. Let $E_1 = N(b_1^+)$ be the disk

in S such that $\partial E_1 = \partial D_1^+$. See Figure 3. Suppose that $D \cap D_1^+ \neq \emptyset$. Consider an outermost disk Δ of D cut off by an outermost arc of $D \cap D_1^+$. We can see that by minimality of $|D \cap D_1^+|$, Δ cannot lie in the 3-ball B bounded by $D_1^+ \cup E_1$ containing a_1^+. So Δ lies outside of B. Let $\overline{D}$ be one of the disks obtained from D_1^+ by surgery along Δ such that $\partial\overline{D}$ bounds a disk $\overline{E}$ in $E_2 - E_1$. Let p be the point $a_2^+ \cap (E_2 - E_1)$ and q be the point $a_3^+ \cap (E_2 - E_1)$.

Suppose $\overline{E}$ contains p. Then the sphere $\overline{D} \cup \overline{E}$ intersects $a_2^+ \cup b_2^+$ in a single point after a slight isotopy of $\operatorname{int} b_2^+$ into B^-, a contradiction. So $\overline{E}$ does not contain p, and by similar reason $\overline{E}$ does not contain q. Then $\overline{E}$ is an inessential disk in $E_2 - E_1 - K$, so we can reduce $|D \cap D_1^+|$, a contradiction.

Hence $D \cap D_1^+ = \emptyset$. Let E be the disk in E_2 such that $\partial E = \partial D$. If ∂E is in E_1, then D is isotopic to D_1^+. Suppose ∂E is in $E_2 - E_1$. Then E contains neither p nor q, since otherwise $D \cup E$ intersects $a_2^+ \cup b_2^+$ or $a_3^+ \cup b_3^+$ in a single point as above. So we get the conclusion that D is isotopic to D_1^+. □

Therefore if an essential disk in $B^+ - K$ is disjoint from D_2^- and its boundary is in $S - E_2$, then it belongs to one of $C_3^+, \ldots, C_n^+$.

An essential disk in $B^+ - K$ that is disjoint from D_2^- and intersects D_4^- belongs to C_4^+ by definition. Let $E_4 = N(b_1^- \cup b_1^+ \cup \cdots \cup b_3^- \cup b_3^+ \cup b_4^-)$ be the disk in S such that $\partial E_4 = \partial D_4^-$. Let D be an essential disk in $B^+ - K$ that is disjoint from D_2^-, D_4^- and $\partial D \subset S - E_2$.

Claim 2. If ∂D is in E_4 (hence in $E_4 - E_2$), then D is isotopic to $D_3^+ \in C_3^+$.



FIGURE 4. D_3^+ in C_3^+.

Proof of Claim 2. We assume that $|D \cap D_3^+|$ is minimal up to isotopy, so $D \cap D_3^+$ consists of arc components. Let $E_3 = N(b_1^+ \cup b_1^- \cup b_2^+ \cup b_2^- \cup b_3^+)$ be the disk in S such that $\partial E_3 = \partial D_3^+$. See Figure 4. Suppose that $D \cap D_3^+ \neq \emptyset$. Consider an outermost disk Δ of D cut off by an outermost arc of $D \cap D_3^+$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\partial\Delta \cap S$ lies in $E_3 - E_2$. Let $\overline{D}$ be one of the disks obtained from D_3^+ by surgery along Δ such that $\partial\overline{D}$ bounds a disk $\overline{E}$ in $E_3 - E_2$. Let p be the point $a_2^+ \cap (E_3 - E_2)$ and q be the point $a_3^+ \cap (E_3 - E_2)$.

Suppose $\overline{E}$ contains p. Then the sphere $\overline{D} \cup \overline{E}$ intersects $a_2^+ \cup b_2^+$ in a single point after a slight isotopy, a contradiction. So $\overline{E}$ does not contain p, and similarly $\overline{E}$ does not contain q. Then $\overline{E}$ is an inessential disk in $E_3 - E_2 - K$, so we can reduce $|D \cap D_3^+|$, a contradiction. Hence $D \cap D_3^+ = \emptyset$. Then as in Claim 1 we can see that D is isotopic to D_3^+. □

Therefore if an essential disk in $B^+ - K$ is disjoint from D_2^-, D_4^- and its boundary is in $S - E_4$, then it belongs to one of $C_5^+, \dots, C_n^+$.

In general, let $E_{2i} = N(b_1^- \cup b_1^+ \cup \cdots \cup b_{2i-1}^- \cup b_{2i-1}^+ \cup b_{2i}^-)$ be the disk in S such that $\partial E_{2i} = \partial D_{2i}^-$. Let D be an essential disk in $B^+ - K$ that is disjoint from $D_2^-, D_4^-, \dots, D_{2i-2}^-$ and $\partial D \subset S - E_{2i-2}$.

- If $\partial D \subset E_{2i} - E_{2i-2}$, then D is isotopic to $D_{2i-1}^+ \in C_{2i-1}^+$.
- If D intersects D_{2i}^-, then D belongs to C_{2i}^+ by definition.
- If $\partial D \subset S - E_{2i}$, then D belongs to one of $C_{2i+1}^+, \dots, C_n^+$.

An inductive argument in this way leads to that any essential disk in $B^+ - K$ belongs to one and only one C_i^+. A similar argument shows that $\{C_i^-\}$ $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ is a partition of the set of essential disks in $B^- - K$. □

The collection of disks $\{D_1^+, D_1^-, \dots, D_n^+, D_n^-\}$ spans an $(n-1)$-sphere S^{n-1} in $\mathcal{D}(P)$. There is no edge in $\mathcal{D}(P)$ connecting C_i^+ and C_i^- by definition. There exists an edge in $\mathcal{D}(P)$ connecting $C_i^\pm$ and $C_j^\pm$ for $i \neq j$, e.g. en edge between $D_i^\pm$ and $D_j^\pm$, and there exists an edge in $\mathcal{D}(P)$ connecting C_i^+ and C_j^- for $i \neq j$, e.g. an edge between D_i^+ and D_j^-. Hence if we define a map $\overline{r}$ from the set of vertices of $\mathcal{D}(P)$ to the set of vertices of S^{n-1} by

$$\overline{r}(v) = D_i^\pm \quad \text{if } v \in C_i^\pm,$$

then $\overline{r}$ extends to a continuous map from the 1-skeleton of $\mathcal{D}(P)$ to the 1-skeleton of S^{n-1}. Since higher dimensional simplices of $\mathcal{D}(P)$ are determined by 1-simplices, $\overline{r}$ can be extended to a retraction $r : \mathcal{D}(P) \to S^{n-1}$. Hence $\pi_{n-1}(\mathcal{D}(P)) \neq 1$, and the topological index of P is at most n.

References

[1] **D. Bachman**, *Topological index theory for surfaces in 3-manifolds*, Geom. Topol. **14** (2010) 585–609.

[2] **D. Bachman and J. Johnson**, *On the existence of high index topologically minimal surfaces*, Math. Res. Lett. **17** (2010) 389–394.

[3] **A. Casson and C. McA. Gordon**, *Reducing Heegaard splittings*, Topology Appl. **27** (1987) 275–283.
[4] **Y. Jang**, *Three-bridge links with infinitely many three-bridge spheres*, Topology Appl. **157** (2010) 165–172.
[5] **J. H. Lee**, *On topologically minimal surfaces of high genus*, arXiv:1301.4752.
[6] **J. -P. Otal**, *Présentations en ponts du nœud trivial*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **294** (1982) 553–556.
[7] **M. Ozawa**, *Nonminimal bridge positions of torus knots are stabilized*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc **151** (2011) 307–317.
[8] **M. Scharlemann and M. Tomova**, *Uniqueness of bridge surfaces for* 2*-bridge knots*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **144** (2008) 639–650.

Department of Mathematics and Institute of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Chonbuk National University, Jeonju 561-756, Korea
E-mail address: junghoon@jbnu.ac.kr